

**FOURTH SUPPLEMENT TO THE BASE  
PROSPECTUS DATED 9 JANUARY 2017**

---

**Deutsche Bank Aktiengesellschaft**  
(Frankfurt am Main, Germany)



Programme for the issuance of Certificates, Warrants and Notes

---

This document constitutes a supplement (the "**Supplement**") to the base prospectus dated 9 January 2017, as supplemented by the supplements dated 21 February 2017, 30 March 2017 and 9 May 2017 (the "**Base Prospectus**"), pursuant to article 13 of Chapter 1 of Part II of the Luxembourg Law dated 10 July 2005 on prospectuses for securities as amended (the "**Law**"), and should be read in conjunction with the Base Prospectus.

Terms defined in the Base Prospectus have the same meaning in this Supplement.

This Supplement contains updated information relating to the Base Prospectus. Any Base Prospectus information not supplemented herein should be regarded as unchanged. This Supplement shall be published on the Issuer's website (<http://www.uk.x-markets.db.com/UK/showpage.asp?pageid=212>) and on the website of the Luxembourg Stock Exchange ([www.bourse.lu](http://www.bourse.lu)).

The Base Prospectus is revised in this respect with effect from and including the date of this Supplement.

The Issuer accepts responsibility for the information contained in this document. To the best of the knowledge and belief of the Issuer (who has taken all reasonable care to ensure that such is the case) the information contained in this document is in accordance with the facts and does not omit anything likely to affect the import of such information. Save as disclosed in this Supplement, no other significant new factor, material mistake or inaccuracy relating to information included in the Base Prospectus has arisen or been noted, as the case may be, since the publication of the Base Prospectus.

To the extent that there is any inconsistency between (a) any statement in this Supplement and (b) any statement in the Base Prospectus, the statements in (a) above will prevail.

In accordance with Article 13 paragraph 2 of the Law, investors who have already agreed to purchase or subscribe for securities before the Supplement is published shall have the right, exercisable within a time limit of two working days after the publication of this Supplement to withdraw their acceptances. Investors may therefore withdraw their acceptances by the 13 June 2017. This withdrawal right will only apply to those investors who have agreed to purchase or subscribe the securities in accordance with Final Terms issued under the Base Prospectus before the publication of this Supplement and for which the offering period has not yet elapsed or admission to trading on a regulated market has not yet been obtained as of the date of this Supplement.

**This Supplement is dated 9 June 2017.**

**FOURTH SUPPLEMENT TO THE BASE  
PROSPECTUS DATED 9 JANUARY 2017**

On 6 June 2017, the rating agency DBRS published a change in the outlook of the long-term senior debt rating assigned to Deutsche Bank from “negative” to “stable”.

The Base Prospectus is accordingly amended as follows:

**I.**

In Chapter “I. Summary”, section “B. Issuer”, Element B.17 “Credit Ratings to the Issuer and the Securities” (pages 8-9), the information contained in the third paragraph in the column on the right (including the table but excluding the paragraph under the table) shall be deleted and replaced as follows:

“As of 9 June 2017, the following ratings were assigned to Deutsche Bank for its long-term senior debt (or, where available, for its long-term non-preferred senior debt) and its short-term senior debt:

<b>Moody’s</b>	Long-term non-preferred senior debt:	Baa2 (stable)
	Short-term senior debt:	P-2 (stable)
<b>S&amp;P</b>	Long-term non-preferred senior debt:	BBB-
	Short-term senior debt:	A-2
<b>Fitch</b>	Long-term non-preferred senior debt:	A-
	Short-term senior debt:	F1
<b>DBRS</b>	Long-term senior debt:	A (low) (stable)
	Short-term senior debt:	R-1 (low) (stable)

”

**II.**

In Chapter “III. General Information on the Programme”, section “H. General Information”, sub-section “7. Ratings of the Issuer” (pages 261-265), the information contained from the third paragraph up to and excluding the heading “8. Known trends affecting the Issuer and the industries in which it operates” shall be deleted and replaced as follows:

“As of 9 June 2017, the following ratings were assigned to Deutsche Bank for its long-term senior debt (or, where available, for its long-term non-preferred senior debt) and its short-term senior debt. For information on the distinction between preferred and non-preferred senior debt and the ratings assigned to Deutsche Bank for its long-term preferred senior debt, see the section “C. General Description of the Programme” in Chapter “III. General Information on the Programme”, under the heading „Ranking of the Securities“ (pages 171-172):

**Moody’s**

Long-term non-preferred senior debt:	Baa2 (stable)
Short-term senior debt:	P-2 (stable)

Moody’s defines:

## **FOURTH SUPPLEMENT TO THE BASE PROSPECTUS DATED 9 JANUARY 2017**

**Baa2:** Obligations rated “Baa” are judged to be medium-grade and subject to moderate credit risk and as such may possess certain speculative characteristics.

Moody's long-term obligation ratings are divided into several categories ranging from “Aaa”, reflecting the highest quality, subject to the lowest level of credit risk, over categories “Aa”, “A”, “Baa”, “Ba”, “B”, “Caa”, “Ca” to category “C”, reflecting the lowest rated obligations which are typically in default, with little prospect for recovery of principal or interest. Moody's appends numerical modifiers 1, 2 and 3 to each generic rating classification from “Aa” through “Caa”. The modifier 1 indicates that the obligation ranks in the higher end of its generic rating category; the modifier 2 indicates a mid-range ranking; and the modifier 3 indicates a ranking in the lower end of that generic rating category.

**P-2:** Issuers (or supporting institutions) rated Prime-2 have a strong ability to repay short-term debt obligations.

Moody's short-term obligation ratings are divided into several categories ranging from “P-1”, reflecting a superior ability of an issuer to repay short-term debt obligations, over categories “P-2” and “P-3” to category “NP”, reflecting that an issuer does not fall within any of the Prime rating categories.

**stable:** A rating outlook is an opinion regarding the likely rating direction over the medium term. Rating outlooks fall into four categories: Positive (POS), Negative (NEG), Stable (STA), and Developing (DEV). A designation of RUR (Rating(s) Under Review) indicates that an issuer has one or more ratings under review, which overrides the outlook designation. A stable outlook indicates a low likelihood of a rating change over the medium term. A negative, positive or developing outlook indicates a higher likelihood of a rating change over the medium term.

A review indicates that a rating is under consideration for a change in the near term. A rating can be placed on review for upgrade (UPG), downgrade (DNG), or more rarely with direction uncertain (UNC). A review may end with a rating being upgraded, downgraded, or confirmed without a change to the rating. Ratings on review are said to be on Moody's “Watchlist” or “On Watch”. Ratings are placed on review when a rating action may be warranted in the near term but further information or analysis is needed to reach a decision on the need for a rating change or the magnitude of the potential change.

### **S&P**

Long-term senior debt: BBB-

Short-term senior debt: A-2

S&P defines:

**BBB-:** An obligation rated “BBB” exhibits adequate protection parameters. However, adverse economic conditions or changing circumstances are more likely to lead to a weakened capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation.

Long-term issue credit ratings by S&P are divided into several categories ranging from “AAA”, reflecting an extremely strong capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation, over categories “AA”, “A”, “BBB”, “BB”, “B”, “CCC”, “CC”, “C” to category “D”, reflecting that an obligation is in default or in breach of an imputed promise. The ratings from “AA” to “CCC” may be modified by the addition of a plus (“+”) or minus (“-”) sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.

## **FOURTH SUPPLEMENT TO THE BASE PROSPECTUS DATED 9 JANUARY 2017**

A-2: An obligation rated “A-2” is somewhat more susceptible to the adverse effects of changes in circumstances and economic conditions than obligations in higher rating categories. However, the obligor’s capacity to meet its financial commitment on the obligation is satisfactory.

Short-term issue credit ratings by S&P are divided into several categories ranging from “A-1”, reflecting a strong capacity of the obligor to meet its financial commitment on the obligation, over categories “A-2”, “A-3”, “B”, “C” to category “D”, reflecting that an obligation is in default or in breach of an imputed promise.

### **Fitch**

Long-term non-preferred senior debt: A-

Short-term senior debt: F1

Fitch defines:

A-: A rating of “A” denotes expectations of low credit risk. The capacity for payment of financial commitments is considered strong. This capacity may, nevertheless, be more vulnerable to adverse business or economic conditions than is the case for higher ratings.

Fitch’s long-term ratings are divided into several major categories ranging from “AAA”, reflecting the lowest expectation of credit risk, over categories “AA”, “A”, “BBB”, “BB”, “B”, “CCC”, “CC” to category “C”, reflecting exceptionally high levels of credit risk. Defaulted obligations typically are not assigned “RD” or “D” ratings, but are instead rated in the “B” to “C” rating categories, depending upon their recovery prospects and other relevant characteristics. The modifiers “+” or “-” may be appended to a rating to denote relative status within major rating categories. Such suffixes are not added to the “AAA” obligation rating category or to obligation ratings below “CCC”.

The subscript “emr” is appended to a rating to denote embedded market risk which is beyond the scope of the rating. The designation is intended to make clear that the rating solely addresses the counterparty risk of the issuing bank. It is not meant to indicate any limitation in the analysis of the counterparty risk, which in all other respects follows published Fitch criteria for analysing the issuing financial institution.

F1: A rating of “F1” indicates the strongest intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments. It may have an added “+” to denote any exceptionally strong credit feature.

Fitch’s short-term ratings are divided into several categories ranging from “F1”, reflecting the strongest intrinsic capacity for timely payment of financial commitments, over categories “F2”, “F3”, “B”, “C”, “RD” to category “D” which indicates a broad-based default event for an entity, or the default of a short-term obligation.

### **DBRS**

Long-term senior debt: A (low) (stable)

Short-term senior debt: R-1 (low) (stable)

DBRS defines:

A (low): Good credit quality. The capacity for the payment of financial obligations is substantial, but of lesser quality than “AA”. May be vulnerable to future events, but qualifying negative factors are

#### **FOURTH SUPPLEMENT TO THE BASE PROSPECTUS DATED 9 JANUARY 2017**

considered manageable.

Long-term obligations ratings by DBRS are divided into several categories ranging from “AAA”, reflecting the highest credit quality, over categories “AA”, “A”, “BBB”, “BB”, “B”, “CCC”, “CC”, “C” to category “D”, reflecting when the issuer has filed under any applicable bankruptcy, insolvency or winding up statute or there is a failure to satisfy an obligation after the exhaustion of grace periods. All rating categories other than “AAA” and “D” also contain subcategories “(high)” and “(low)”. The absence of either a “(high)” or “(low)” designation indicates the rating is in the middle of the category.

R-1 (low): Good credit quality. The capacity for the payment of short-term financial obligations as they fall due is substantial. Overall strength is not as favourable as higher rating categories. May be vulnerable to future events, but qualifying negative factors are considered manageable.

DBRS’s short-term debt ratings are divided into several categories ranging from “R-1”, reflecting the highest credit quality, over categories “R-2”, “R-3”, “R-4”, “R-5” to category “D” reflecting when the issuer has filed under any applicable bankruptcy, insolvency or winding up statute or there is a failure to satisfy an obligation after the exhaustion of grace periods. The “R-1” and “R-2” rating categories are further denoted by the subcategories “(high)”, “(middle)”, and “(low)”.

stable: Rating trends provide guidance in respect of DBRS’s opinion regarding the outlook for a rating. Rating trends have three categories: “positive”, “stable” or “negative”. The rating trend indicates the direction in which DBRS considers the rating may move if present circumstances continue, or in certain cases, unless challenges are addressed by the issuer.

It is often the rating trend that reflects the initial pressures or benefits of a changing environment rather than an immediate change in the rating. A positive or negative trend is not an indication that a rating change is imminent. Rather, a positive or negative trend represents an indication that there is a greater likelihood that the rating could change in the future than would be the case if a stable trend was assigned to the security.

Generally, the conditions that lead to the assignment of a negative or positive trend are resolved within a twelve month period. However, in some instances, new factors emerge which may cause the positive or negative trend to be maintained, even as the original factors become clarified or resolved.

DBRS places ratings “Under Review” in situations where a significant event occurs that directly impacts the credit quality of a particular entity or group of entities and if there is uncertainty regarding the outcome of the event and DBRS therefore is unable to provide an objective, forward-looking opinion in a timely fashion. DBRS also places ratings “Under Review” in situations where, in the opinion of DBRS, the current rating on the security may no longer be appropriate due to a change in the credit status of the issuing entity for other reasons and additional time is required for further analysis. Furthermore, DBRS may also place a rating “Under Review” if DBRS has announced that one or more of its methodologies that apply to such a rating is being revised and the announcement indicates that the outcome of the rating affected by the revision is uncertain. Using “Under Review Positive” or “Under Review Negative” is a more significant action than changing a rating trend to positive or negative as rating changes are considered more likely with the former than the latter.”